

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,887		11/20/2003	Michael W. Allen	11180013010202	11180013010202 9407	
37211	7590	04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
BASCH &			PATEL, RAI	PATEL, RAJNIKANT B		
1777 PENFI PENFIELD.				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ĺ				2838		
	Da			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/717,887	ALLEN ET AL.	m				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	(1)				
	Rajnikant B. Patel	2838					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	dress				
Period for Reply		•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day- ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No.	ovember 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
· Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/23/04</u> .	6) Other:	mann i Abhiraman (i 1 a	- <i>-,</i>				
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wong et al. (U.S. patent # 6,775,164).

Art Unit: 2838

Wong et al. disclose the claimed invention An encapsulated power converter (figure 1-3 and column 3, line 20-24), a rectifier (figure 1, item 104), a MOSFET (figure 1, item 20), a capacitor (figure 1, item 116), a voltage level detection (figure 1, item 64), a resistive charging path (figure 1, item 47), a housekeeping supply (figure 1, item AC1 and AC2), isolated low voltage ON/OFF (figure 1, item 50), a photo detector (figure 1, item 64), a peak detector (column 4, line 35-55), a comparison circuit (figure 1, item 30), an independent pin for comparison circuit (figure 1, item 46) and at least one circuit board (figure 1, item 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Squibb (U.S. Patent # 5,499,184) in combination with Keith (U.S. patent # 5,395,264).

Squibb discloses claimed invention an electronic power converter (figure 1-2), including at least high voltage electronic circuitry (figure 2), an inrush current limiting circuit (figure

Application/Control Number: 10/717,887

Art Unit: 2838

2, item 58), a MOSFET switch (figure 2, item 32), a bridge rectifier (figure 2, item 24), a

capacitor (figure 2, item 56), voltage detection circuit (figure 2, item 38), a resistive

charging path (figure 2, item 58), an opt-coupler (figure 2, item 40), a secondary side

isolated low voltage ON/OFF switch (figure 2, item 50 and column 5, line 50-55), a low

voltage sense (column 5, line 40-50) and resistive connection to a housekeeping supply

(figure 2, item 20). Squibb does not disclose the utilization of the technique for a

detachable line cord. Keith teaches the utilization of the similar technique for a

detachable line cord. It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made modify Squibb's power supply by utilizing the

technique taught by Keith for the purpose of providing power supply that can be used

with standard power supply.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-

2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 5710272-2084. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,887

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajnikant B Patel **Primary Examiner** Page 5

Art Unit 2838